Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/780,327	CRALL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David L. Sorkin	1723
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>David L. Sorkin</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael G. Voorhees.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>4 May 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: not discussed		
Claims discussed: 4, 6, 7, 10-13 and 16-19		
Prior art documents discussed: not discussed		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  After the examiner pointed out that there was lack of antecedent basis for "the first" and "the second" members in claim 4, Mr. Voorhees authorized an examiner's amendment cancelling claim 4		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears in F	απ II above.
Jail holi		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature - if appropriate)